



# SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item# 11.1d

**Meeting Date:** May 6, 2021

**Subject:** Approve Minutes of the April 8, 2021, Board of Education Meeting

- 
- Approval on Consent Agenda
- Conference (for discussion only)
- Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Conference/Action
- Action
- Public Hearing

**Division:** Superintendent's Office

**Recommendation:** Approve Minutes of the April 8, 2021, Board of Education Meeting.

**Background/Rationale:** None

**Financial Considerations:** None

**LCAP Goal(s):** Family and Community Empowerment

**Documents Attached:**

1. Minutes of the April 8, 2021, Board of Education Regular Meeting

<p><b>Estimated Time of Presentation:</b> N/A <b>Submitted by:</b> Jorge A. Aguilar, Superintendent <b>Approved by:</b> N/A</p>
---

Thursday, April 8, 2021

4:30 p.m. Closed Session

6:00 p.m. Open Session

Serna Center

Community Conference Rooms

5735 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue

Sacramento, CA 95824

(

~~Christina Rutecki~~, President (Trustee Area 3)  
Lisa Murawski, Vice President (Trustee Area 1)  
Darrel Woo, (Trustee Area 2)  
Jamee Villa (Trustee Area 4)  
Chinua Rhode (Trustee Area 5)  
Lavinia Grace Phillips (Trustee Area 7)



- 4.1 The Pledge of Allegiance
- 4.2 Broadcast Statement
- 4.3 Stelar Students – Jovahny Rosales, a 7<sup>th</sup> Grade student from Will C. Wood Middle School was introduced by Member Villa, and Christopher Flores, a 5<sup>th</sup> Grade student from Ethel I. Baker Elementary School was introduced by Member Phillips.

5.0 ANNOUNCEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

Counsel Anne Collins announced that OAH Case No. 2020090031 was approved by a vote of 6-0 with Vice President Murawski absent.

6.0 AGENDA ADOPTION

President Pritchett asked for a motion to adopt the agenda. A motion was made to approve by Second Vice President Woo and second Vice President Murawski. The Board voted unanimously to adopt the agenda.

7.0 SPECIAL PRESENTATION

- 7.1 Resolution No.3193: Resolution Condemning Asian Hatred and Violence and Supporting People of Asian Ancestry (Darrel Woo) Action

Board Comments:

Vice President Murawski thanked staff and principals for their hard work in reaching this MOU and for making preparations for the first days back. She echoed Ms. Tubo's comment of appreciation of the CDE guidance of no more than three feet distancing. She then moved to approve. Second Vice President Woo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

7.3 Update on Reopening MOU Next Steps (Various Departments)

Information

In-House Counsel Raoul Bozio gave the update on next steps regarding opening MOU. He spoke about three-foot distancing guidance, particulars around meeting with SCTA, a request for impasse, and next steps.

Public Comment:

Alison French-Tubo  
David Fisher  
Ingrid Hutchins  
Jody Bone  
Jacob Douglas  
Jason Spann  
John Meyers  
Karen Rice  
Kelly Newbill  
Kimberly Buchholz  
Lisa Lindberg  
Terrence Gladney  
Kara Synhorst  
Julie Snider  
Ian Strimaitis  
Lori Merritt

Board Comments:

President Pritchett asked Superintendent Aguilar what percentage of classrooms are at maximum in terms of attendance rates regarding the six-foot rule. He said he will establish capacity rates as we monitor attendance rates and as we entertain the idea of having more students in the classrooms. He noted that information related to how many desks can be accommodated at six and three feet in each classroom and in each school is posted on the District website. President Pritchett asked that this information also be posted on the website as it becomes available.

Student Member Sheikh feels the MOU is clear and he asked all to realize that there were over months of study and weeks of updated guidelines from county, state, and local authorities involved. He noted that there are entire states that have opened with three feet distancing and no form of fourth wave fear mongering has been seen that we might

heard about tonight. He said it is not about reducing student safety but more about opening up opportunities for students that have not been able to come back because the reality it is not feasible for so many students in this District. He noted that six hours per week locks out many that otherwise would want to be in the classroom. He appreciates Ms. Newbill's comments about how the District should be asking more questions and having more surveys to see how the District can accommodate and how the District can reach those parents that might have varying problems with coming back. He looks forward to moving as a District toward science in what is best for students, and he hopes everyone can be on board when we do that.

Member Garcia asked to go back for clarity regarding next steps. She said she disagrees with SCTA's interpretation of the language of the MOU; she feels the Board gave clear direction to the Superintendent and the negotiations team to go back to meet and confer and talk about exercising the provision in the MOU to talk about a three-foot distance versus a six-foot distance. She also wants to understand how we start taking into account attendance rates and the desires of parents. Mr. Bozio said that in the District we will keep on trying to discuss these issues with our partners and persuade them that it is in everyone's best interest to get together to discuss these matters. Whether it is strictly discussion around three feet distancing or whether it is a discussion around other options and ideas for bringing more students back for more hours and more days in school. Superintendent Aguilar noted that in the letter he wrote to SCTA he is seeking clarification whether they are unwilling to follow the meet and confer language of the MOU. If that is confirmed, then options will be brought back to the Board. His hope is that he would be in a position to effectuate the meet and confer and talk about ways to serve students. Mr. Bozio gave an explanation of "meet and confer" per the Superintendent's request. Superintendent Aguilar explained that SCTA felt there was no need for a revision to the MOU as three feet, as a minimum, is less than the six feet included in the MOU. Ms. Garcia said she realizes the goal is to have more students in the classroom.

Member Phillips said it seems as if the three feet versus six feet is just a matter of semantics and she asked if we can have a third party come in to find a better understanding of that or are we looking a mediator and impasse. Superintendent Aguilar said he would defer on the legal aspect, but he does not believe a mediator would







should speak about the students that need the most help, but also summer sports and other ways to support students.

Student Member Sheikh thanked Ms. Baeta and spoke of the importance of addressing learning loss. He talked about youth supports and how there are mental health supports, but often students that could benefit are not connected to those supports.

## 9.0 PUBLIC COMMENT

Public comment may be (1) emailed [publiccomment@scusd.edu](mailto:publiccomment@scusd.edu) (2) submitted in writing, identifying the matter number and the name of the public member at the URL <https://tinyurl.com/SCUSDcomment>; or (3) using the same URL, submitting a request for oral comment only when the matter is called, instead of written comment. Regardless of the method by which public comment is submitted, including a request for oral comment, the submission deadline shall be no later than noon, April 8, 2021, for any agenda item. Individual public comment shall be presented to the Board orally for no more than two minutes or other time determined by the Board, on each agenda item. Public comments submitted in writing will not be read aloud, but will be provided to the Board in advance of the meeting and posted on the District's website. The Board shall limit the total time for public comment presented on each agenda item, including communications and organizational reports, to 15 minutes in length. With Board consent, the President may increase or decrease the length of time allowed for public comment depending on the agenda item and the number of public comments.

Public Comment:  
Alison French-Tubo  
Ingrid Hutchins  
Julie Del Agua  
Kristen Tudor  
Mary Kelly  
Mo Kashmiri

Shannon Barnes  
Angela Davis  
Shelly Carthen  
Michael Violenta  
Stacy Kawahara  
Shannon Hobbs  
Jacquelyn Sanchez  
Mary Chew  
Victor Vasquez  
Fred Harris  
Lori Merritt  
Dan Okenfuss  
Jaymi Aas  
Karla Faucett  
Marcella Fernandez-Ruiz  
Soledad Gutierrez  
Julia Willsie  
Melissa Martinez  
Amy Peterson  
Staci Stermer  
Shannon Cooper  
Paula Cook  
Rich Johnson  
Amy Brown  
Rose Lazuardi  
Lindsay Stephens  
Latika Jain  
Stacy Kawahara  
Adam Link  
Paola Lopez  
Toby Reyes  
Rosalinda Arellano  
Michael Violenta  
Wendy Murray  
Jake Fernandez  
Jacquenette Reyes  
Manuela Murillo  
Kim Taylor  
Krishana Carlton  
Carol Lee  
Amanda Kossow  
Michele Koehler  
Yamilet Jorlen  
Tina Favela  
Debra Durazo  
Anne Jirasritumrong  
Martha Ortega  
Sally Uhlig  
Susan Ann Lee

Nancy Woodbury  
David Fisher

## 10.0 PUBLIC HEARING

10.1 Public Hearing: First Reading of Revised Board Policy 3155, Relations with Vendors (Raoul Bozio) Conference/First Reading

In-House Counsel Raoul Bozio gave the first reading of revised Board Policy 3155, Relations with Vendors.

Public Comment:  
Alison FrenchTubo  
David Fisher

Board Comments:

Second Vice President Woo noted that the number should be Board Policy 3315. Mr. Bozio acknowledged that it should be corrected.

Member Phillips asked if Board members are employees of the District. Mr. Bozio said they are not. He said there is a separate Board ByLaw, 9270 which is more detailed and is cross referenced with BP 3315.

Member Garcia noted that the first line of the BP states “no district employee or governing board member” and asked if this should be stricken. Mr. Bozio said it can be looked at, but that it is a true statement. Member Garcia asked if the Superintendent is an employee of the District. Mr. Bozio said that he is.

StudentMember Sheikh asked for clarification on what is being stricken. Mr. Bozio explained.

## 11.0 COMMUNICATIONS

11.1 Employee Organization Reports: Information

*f* SCTA– No report given

*f* SEIU– Dan Schallockreported on behalf of SEIU

*f* TCS– No report given

*f* Teamsters – No report given

*f* UPE – No report given



thanked all the negotiations teams for their work in the process of reopening schools. She said that MOUs were completed with four of the five bargaining units, and she is sure that one will also be reached with SEIU. She explained impasse. She also spoke about graduations and said arrangements are being made for outdoor venues. She responded to SCTA's written comments to the Board and asked the Superintendent to post the findings from Crowe LLP on the District website.

11.5 Student Member Report (Isa Sheikh)

Information

Student Member Sheikh said he is happy that school re-opened today. He is grateful to all for their work in ensuring that today came. He is disappointed however there are still debates being raised on some points of reopening. He made a request to present a resolution at the next board meeting which commits to returning students to five days per week in the Fall.

11.6 Information Sharing by Board Members

4<sup>th</sup> R, he said there have been many inquiries for parents and he realizes that the number of students being served in person has been impacted by R. He said he has worked closely with partner that City of Sacramento and they have put out information that both believe is truthful about what led them to sit with CBOs to see if it would be a possibility to meet the needs of those families that were inquiring. He noted that there is a lot of information out there and feels some of it is not accurate. He said that through discussions they identified Sac Chinese, a longtime partner of the District, and he believes the city has worked with that CBO as well. He said we have made the decision to explore the possibility of having Sac Chinese provide those services to families. He said we have also talked to the city about the use of portables that operate through ownership or lease agreement and that these conversations are still continuing. He said one thing we cannot control is licensing, and he explained the challenges with that process and the timelines Member Garcia said

the (td) es 4pEMC /Span <</MCID 9 <o1pan <</MD

families and that is why we are in the situation we are in.

Public Comment on 11.0: Terrence Gladney

## 12.0 CONSENT AGENDA

Action

Generally routine items are approved by one motion without discussion. The Superintendent or a Board member may request an item be pulled from the consent agenda and voted upon separately.

### 12.1 Items Subject or Not Subject to Closed Session

- 12.1a Approve Grants, Entitlements and Other Income Agreements, Ratification of Other Agreements, Approval of Bid Awards, Approval of Declared Surplus Materials and Equipment, Change Notices and Notices of Completion (Rose F. Ramos)
- 12.1b Approve Personnel Transactions (Cancy McArn)
- 12.1c Approve Mandatory Reporting to the Sacramento County Office of Education – Uniform Complaints Regarding the Williams Settlement Processed for the Period of January 2021 through March 2021 (Cancy McArn)
- 12.1d Approve Minutes of the March 4, 2021, Board of Education Meeting (Jorge A. Aguila)
- 12.1e Approve Minutes of the March 11, 2021, Board of Education Special Meeting (Jorge A. Aguila)
- 12.1f Approve Resolution No. 3194 Resolution Regarding Board Stipends (Christina Pritchett)
- 12.1g Approval of Angela Hatter, Coordinator III, Adult Education, as Sacramento City Unified School District's Representative to the Capital Adult Education Regional Consortium (Christine Baeta)
- 12.1h Approve Resolution No. 3195: Delegating Signature Authority for the Mental Health Curriculum for High School Students Agreement with Sacramento County Department of Health Services (Rose Ramos and Jessica Sulli)
- 12.1i Approve Revised Board of Education Meeting Calendar for 2020- moving regular meeting of June 3, 2021, to June 10, 2021 (Jorge A. Aguila)

